BRAUER-MANIN OBSTRUCTION TO WEAK APPROXIMATION ON ABELIAN VARIETIES

BY

LAN WANG*

The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA e-mail: lwang@ math.ias.edu

ABSTRACT

Let A be an abelian variety defined over a number field K . Assume that the Tate-Shafarevich group is finite. We prove that the condition that the topological closure of $A(K)$ in $\prod_{v \in M_K^{\infty}} A(K_v)$ is open is equivalent to the condition that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to weak approximation.

1. **Introduction**

Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc $[4]$ gave the first example of the failure of weak approximation for a Del Pezzo surface of degree 4 and pointed out that the Brauer-Manin obstruction [7] is responsible for most known conterexamples to weak approximation. Let V be a smooth algebraic variety defined over a number field K with $V(K) \neq \emptyset$. If the Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation is the only obstruction for V (Definition 2.1), then the topological closure of $V(K)$ in $\prod_{v \in M_{\infty}^{\infty}} V(K_v)$ is open, in particular, the K-rational points are Zariski dense in V (Lemma 2.3).

In this paper, we show that the converse is true for abelian varieties under the standard assumption that the Tate-Shafarevich group is finite.

^{*} Current *address:* Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA; e-mail: lwang@ math.lsa.umich.edu Received December 13, 1994

THEOREM 1.1: *Let A be an abelian variety defined over K. Assume that the topological closure of* $A(K)$ *in* $\prod_{v \in M_{\infty}^{\infty}} A(K_v)$ *is open. If the Tate-Shafarevich group is finite,* then the *Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation is the only obstruction.*

When a variety is defined over Q, Mazur made the following conjecture [9].

CONJECTURE 1.2 (Mazur): *Let V be a smooth algebraic variety defined* over Q *whose rational points* V(Q) are *Zariski dense in V. Then the topological closure of* $V(Q)$ *in* $V(R)$ *is open.*

This conjecture together with Theorem 1.1 implies the following result.

PROPOSITION 1.3: *Let A* be an *abelian variety defined over Q whose rational points* are *Zariski dense. Assume that Conjecture* 1.2 *is true for A and that the Tate-Shafarevich group is finite. Then the Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation is the only obstruction for A.*

COROLLARY 1.4: Let A be a *simple abelian variety of dimension d which is defined over Q. Suppose the Mordell-Weil rank of A is at least* $d^2 - d + 1$ *. If the* Tate-Shafarevich group of A is finite, then the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the *only obstruction to Weak Approximation.*

Proof: Waldschmidt [18] proved Conjecture 1.2 for such abelian varieties. \blacksquare

COROLLARY 1.5: Let E be a modular elliptic curve over Q . Let $L(s)$ be the *Hasse–Weil L-function for E over Q. If* $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s) = 1$ *, then the Brauer–Manin obstruction* to *weak approximation on E is the only obstruction.*

Proof: Kolyvagin [6] proved that the Tate-Shafarevich group of such an elliptic curve over Q is finite, and rank $E(\mathbf{Q}) = \text{ord}_{s=1}L(s) = 1$. Hence $E(\mathbf{Q})$ is Zariski dense in E. Since Mazur's Conjecture 1.2 is true for curves $[9, \S2]$, we can apply Proposition 1.3.

Notice that an abelian variety does not satisfy weak approximation, not even weak weak approximation [14, p. 30, p. 20]. The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the Tate global and local duality, Serre's result on congruence subgroups and Goursat's Lemma.

The analogue of Conjecture 1.2 in a higher number field does not hold. We will construct elliptic curves E over quadratic fields K with positive Mordell–Weil rank such that the topological closure of $E(K)$ in $\prod_{v \in M_{\epsilon}^{\infty}} E(K_v)$ is not open.

Hence the Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation is not the only one for such E. This gives rise to an interesting problem: find new obstructions to weak approximation on abelian varieties defined over a number field.

The weak approximation is usually studied together with the Hasse Principle. For complete group varieties, the following result is known.

THEOREM 1.6: Let V be a *smooth* variety *defined over* a number *field K such* that $V \otimes_K F$ is an abelian variety for some finite extension F of K. Assume *that* $\left|\frac{f}{f(k)}\right|$ is finite, then the *Brauer-Manin obstruction for* V to the *Hasse Principle is the only one (Definition 2.1). In other words, if* $V(K_v) \neq \emptyset$ *for all places v of K and* there *is no Brauer-Manin obstruction, then* there *exists a global point* $P \in V(K)$.

Proof: When $\dim(V) = 1$, the statement is a consequence of [8, CH VI, §41, Thm 41.24. The proof for the general case is similar.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I would like to thank Prof. Mazur for suggesting this topic, his continuous encouragement and advice. I am also grateful to Prof. Serre for mentioning to me the 'Goursat Lemma'. Many thanks to Prof. Colliot-Thélène for his detailed comments and corrections, in particular, for the proof in $\S 3.2$ and for the suggestion to consider the number field case.

2. **Preliminaries**

2.1 THE BRAUER-MANIN OBSTRUCTION. Let M_K be a complete set of absolute values of a number field K and M_K^f (resp. M_K^{∞}) the set of non-archimedean (resp. archimedean) absolute values. For any $v \in M_K$, let K_v be the completion of K with respect to v. Denote by A_K the adèle ring of K. Suppose that V is a smooth projective variety defined over K and $Br(V)$ is the Brauer group of V/K . By local class field theory, there is a natural continuous right-linear pairing [3]

(1)
$$
V(\mathbf{A}_K) \times \mathbf{Br}(V) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z},
$$

$$
((x_v), b) \longrightarrow \sum_v \text{inv}_v b^{(v)}(x_v).
$$

By global class field theory, the restriction of the pairing (2.1) to $V(K) \times Br(V)$ is trivial. We denote by $V(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathbf{B}_r}$ the left kernel of the above pairing, that is,

$$
V(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{r}} := \{ (x_v) \in V(\mathbf{A}_K) \mid ((x_v), b) = 0, \text{ for any } b \in \mathbf{Br}(V) \}.
$$

Then $V(K)$ is embedded in $V(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{r}}$ and the closure $\overline{V(K)}$ of $V(K)$ in $V(\mathbf{A}_K)$ is contained in $V(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\text{Br}}$.

Definition 2.1: Assume that $V(K_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all v. We say that there is **no** Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse Principle if $V(A_K)^{Br} \neq \emptyset$. We say that the Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse Principle is the only obstruction if the condition $V(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathbf{Br}} \neq \emptyset$ implies that $V(K) \neq \emptyset$. When $V(K) \neq \emptyset$, we say that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to weak approximation for V if $\overline{V(K)} = V(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{r}}$.

LEMMA 2.2: Let W , Y_1 and Y_2 be metrizable topological spaces. Assume that *there are two embeddings* $i_1 : W \to Y_1$, $i_2 : W \to Y_2$. If Y_2 is compact, then the *closure of* $i_1 \times i_2(W)$ *in* $Y_1 \times Y_2$ *is projected onto the closure of* $i_1(W)$ *in* Y_1 *.*

Proof: Denote by Pr₁ the projection from $Y_1 \times Y_2$ to Y_1 . Since Pr₁ is continuous, we obtain $Pr_1(i_1 \times i_2(W)) \subseteq \overline{Pr_1(i_1 \times i_2(W))} = \overline{i_1(W)}$.

For any $v \in \overline{i_1(W)}$, choose a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset W$ such that $i_1(w_n) \to v$ as $n \to \infty$. Since Y₂ is compact, there is a subsequence $\{w_{n'}\}$ such that $i_2(w_{n'})$ converges to a point $y \in Y_2$ as $n' \to \infty$. Clearly (v, y) is in $\overline{i_1 \times i_2(W)}$, hence v is in $Pr_1(i_1 \times i_2(W))$.

LEMMA 2.3 ([9, §1]): If the Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation *is the only obstruction, then the topological closure of* $V(K)$ *in* $\prod_{v \in M_{\infty}^{\infty}} V(K_v)$ *is open.*

Proof: For each archimedean absolute value v, the pairing $V(K_v) \times Br(V)$ is between the connected components of $V(K_v)$ and $Br(V)$. Hence the image of the projection from $V(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathbf{Br}}$ to $\prod_{v \in M_{\infty}^{\infty}} V(K_v)$ consists of connected components, therefore open. Since $\overline{V(K)} = V(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{r}}$, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.2. \blacksquare

2.2 TATE DUALITY. For any field F, let Gal(\overline{F}/F) be the Galois group of the algebraic closure \bar{F} over F. For any Gal(\bar{F}/F)-module M, denote by $H^{i}(F, M)$ the set $H^{i}(\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F), M)$. Let A be an abelian variety defined over K whose Krational points are Zariski dense in A. Let A^t be its dual abelian variety. There exist perfect pairings [16], called Tate pairings,

$$
H^0(K_v, A) \times H^1(K_v, A^t) \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v} \mathbf{Br}(K_v) \simeq \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}, \text{ for } v \in M_K^f;
$$

$$
H^0(K_v, A) \times H^1(K_v, A^t) \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v} \mathbf{Br}(\mathbf{K}_v), \text{ for } v \in M_K^\infty,
$$

where $H^0(K_v, A) = A(K_v)$ for $v \in M_K^f$, $H^0(K_v, A) = A(K_v)/A(K_v)^0$ for $v \in M_K^{\infty}$, $A(K_v)^0$ is the connected component of $A(K_v)$ containing the identity element. Notice that $Br(R)$ is isomorphic to $Z/2Z$ and $Br(C)$ is trivial. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

(2.2)
$$
A(\mathbf{A}_K) \times H^1(K, A^t) \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}
$$

\n(2.3) $\left(\prod_{v \in M_K} H^0(K_v, A)\right) \times H^1(K, A^t) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$

where the map π is the canonical projection and the pairing (2.2) is defined as follows:

$$
\langle (x_v),a\rangle = \Sigma_{v\in M_K}\langle \pi(x_v),a\rangle_v.
$$

The above pairing is well defined because for all but finitely many v , the image of an element $a \in H^1(K, A^t)$ in $H^1(K_v, A^t)$ is zero [10, p. 91].

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For simplicity, we only prove Theorem 1.1 for $K = Q$. The proof for a general number field is similar. We first find the left kernel $A(A_O)^{H¹}$ of pairing (2.2), then relate it to the left kernel $A(A_{\mathbf{Q}})^{\mathbf{Br}}$ of pairing (2.1). Using the fact that the **Q-rational points are contained in** $A(A_O)^{Br}$ **, we conclude the proof.**

3.1. KERNEL OF PAIRING (2.2) . For an abelian group M, the profinite completion $\lim_{\infty\to n} M/nM$ is denoted by \hat{M} , and M^* denotes $\lim_{\text{cts}} (M, \mathbf{Q/Z})$, the group of continuous characters of finite order of M . The Tate-Shafarevich group $\left|\left|\left|(\mathbf{Q}, A)\right| \right| A$ over **Q** is the kernel of the map $H^1(\mathbf{Q}, A) \to \bigoplus_{p < \infty} H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, A)$. We denote the closure of $A(\mathbf{Q})$ in $A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$ (resp. $\prod_{p<\infty}A(\mathbf{Q}_p)\times A(\mathbf{R})/A(\mathbf{R})^0$, $\prod_{n<\infty}A(\mathbf{Q}_p), A(\mathbf{R}))$ by $\overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}$ (resp. $\overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}_{fd}, \overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}_{fd}, \overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}_{\infty}).$

PROPOSITION 3.1: Assume that the Tate-Shafarevich groups of A and A^t are *finite, then* $\overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}_{fd} = (\prod_{p<\infty} A(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times A(\mathbf{R})/A(\mathbf{R})^0)^{H^1}$, *i.e.* , $\overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}_{fd}$ *is the left kernel of the pairing (2.3) in the case* $K = Q$ *.*

Proof: From Tate duality and the assumption that $|||(A)$ is finite, we get the Cassels-Tate exact sequence [10, p. 102], [17]:

$$
(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \widehat{A(\mathbf{Q})} \longrightarrow \prod_{p \leq \infty} H^{0}(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, A) \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} H^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, A^{t})^{*} \longrightarrow (\underbrace{|||(\mathbf{Q}, A^{t})|^{*}} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, A) = A(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ unless p is archimedean, in which case it is equal to $A(\mathbf{R})/A(\mathbf{R})^0$, and ϕ is induced from the pairing (2.3). From this exact sequence, we see that $\widehat{A}(Q)$ is the left kernel of pairing (2.3) and $||[(Q, A^t)]$ is the right kernel.

By Serre [12] and the fact that $A(\mathbf{R})/A(\mathbf{R})^0$ is finite, we see that $\widehat{A(\mathbf{Q})}$ is the topological closure of $A(\mathbf{Q})$ in $\prod_{p<\infty}A(\mathbf{Q}_p)\times A(\mathbf{R})/A(\mathbf{R})^0$. That is $\widehat{A(\mathbf{Q})}$ = $\overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}_{fd}$ \blacksquare

We will use the diagram involving pairings (2.2) and (2.3) in §2.2 to find the left kernel of the pairing (2.2).

PROPOSITION 3.2: *Let A be* an abelian variety such *that the closure* of A(Q) in $A(\mathbf{R})$ is open. If $|||(A)$ is finite, then $\overline{A(\mathbf{Q})} = A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{H^1}$.

To prove this proposition, we first prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3 (Goursat's Lemma): Let G and G' be two abelian groups and let Γ *be a subgroup of* $G \times G'$ *for which the two projections* $pr_1 : \Gamma \to G$, $pr_2 : \Gamma \to G'$ are surjective. Suppose that there are no proper subgroups $N \triangleleft G$, $N' \triangleleft G'$ such *that* $G/N \simeq G'/N'$ *. Then* $\Gamma = G \times G'$.

Proof: Let 1_G and $1_{G'}$ be the identity element of G and G' respectively. Notice that the subgroup generated by $G \times 1_{G'}$ and Γ , or $1_G \times G'$ and Γ , is the whole group $G \times G'$, and

$$
\langle G \times 1_{G'}, \Gamma \rangle / \Gamma \simeq (G \times 1_{G'}) / \Gamma \bigcap (G \times 1_{G'}),
$$

$$
\langle \Gamma, 1_G \times G' \rangle / \Gamma \simeq (1_G \times G') / \Gamma \bigcap (1_G \times G').
$$

Hence $G/\text{pr}_1(\Gamma \cap (G \times 1_{G'})) \simeq G'/\text{pr}_2(\Gamma \cap (1_G \times G')).$ By assumption, we have

$$
\Pr_1(\Gamma \bigcap (G \times 1_{G'})) = G, \ \Pr_2(\Gamma \bigcap (1_G \times G')) = G'.
$$

Therefore $\Gamma = G \times G'$.

Remark: There are more general statements of this lemma which we do not need here [2, p. 124], [11, p. 252].

Proof of Proposition 3.2: Suppose that $A(\mathbf{R})$ consists of $s + 1$ connected components, $A(\mathbf{R}) = \bigcup_{i=0}^s e_i A(\mathbf{R})^0$. Let $X_i = \prod_{p < \infty} A(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times e_i A(\mathbf{R})^0, 0 \le i \le s$. Then X_i is open and closed in $A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$.

In Lemma 2.2, if we let $W = A(\mathbf{Q}) \bigcap X_0, Y_1 = \prod_{p < \infty} A(\mathbf{Q}_p), Y_2 = A(\mathbf{R}),$ we get that the projection of the closed subgroup $\overline{A(Q)} \cap X_0$ to $\prod_{p<\infty} A(Q_p)$ is $Pr_f(\overline{A(Q)} \cap X_0) = \overline{Pr_f(A(Q) \cap X_0)}$. Similarly, the projection of $\overline{A(Q)} \cap X_0$ to $A(\mathbf{R})$ is $\overline{\Pr_{\infty}(A(\mathbf{Q})\cap X_0)}$. By Lemma 3.3, with $G = \overline{\Pr_f(A(\mathbf{Q})\cap X_0)}$, $G' =$ $\overline{\Pr_{\infty}(A(\mathbf{Q}) \cap X_0)}$ and $\Gamma = \overline{A(\mathbf{Q})} \cap X_0$, we get that

$$
\overline{A(\mathbf{Q})} \bigcap X_0 = \overline{\Pr_f(A(\mathbf{Q}) \bigcap X_0)} \times \overline{\Pr_{\infty}(A(\mathbf{Q}) \bigcap X_0)}
$$

\n
$$
= \Pr_f(\overline{A(\mathbf{Q}) \bigcap X_0}) \times (A(\mathbf{R})^0 \bigcap \overline{A(\mathbf{Q})_{\infty}})
$$

\n
$$
\stackrel{(a)}{=} \Pr'_f(\overline{A(\mathbf{Q})_{fd}} \bigcap (\prod_{p < \infty} A(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times e_0)) \times A(\mathbf{R})^0
$$

\n
$$
\stackrel{(b)}{=} (\prod_{p < \infty} A(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times A(\mathbf{R})^0)^{H^1},
$$

where \Pr'_{f} is the projection from $\prod_{p<\infty} A(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times A(\mathbf{R})/A(\mathbf{R})^0$ to $\prod_{p<\infty} A(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. The equation (a) uses the assumption that the topological closure of $A(Q)$ is open in $A(\mathbf{R})$; (b) comes from Proposition 3.1 and the definition of the pairing **(2.2).**

For any $(x_p, x_\infty) \in (\prod_{p<\infty} A(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times A(\mathbf{R}))^{H^1} = A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{H^1}$, let (x_p, \bar{x}_∞) be its image in the projection to $\overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}_{fd}$. Let $y \in A(\mathbf{Q})$ be such that $\bar{y}_{\infty} = \bar{x}_{\infty}$. Then $x_{\infty} - y_{\infty} \in A(\mathbf{R})^0$ and $((x_p - y_p), x_{\infty} - y_{\infty}) \in (\prod_{p < \infty} A(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times A(\mathbf{R})^0)^{H^1} =$ $\overline{A(\mathbf{Q})} \bigcap X_0$. Hence $((x_p), x_\infty) = ((x_p - y_p), x_\infty - y_\infty) + ((y_p), y_\infty) \in \overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}$. This proves that $A(A_{\mathbf{Q}})^{H^1} \subseteq \overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}$. Clearly, $A(\mathbf{Q})$ is contained in $A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{H^1}$, so is $\overline{A(Q)}$. Proposition 3.2 is proved.

3.2. $A(A_{\mathbf{Q}})^{\mathbf{Br}} \subseteq A(A_{\mathbf{Q}})^{H^1}$. Let G be the Galois group of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}$. Let $Div(A)$ denote the group of divisors of A over **Q** and $Div(\overline{A})$ the group of divisors of $\overline{A} = A \times_{\mathbf{Q}} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Pic(\overline{A}) is the group of divisor classes of A, and Pic⁰(\overline{A}) = $A^t(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ the Picard variety of A over \overline{Q} . $NS(\overline{A})$ is the Néron-Severi group of $A \times_{Q} \overline{Q}$.

LEMMA 3.4 ([7, Theorem 2, p. 403]): There is an *exact sequence:*

$$
0 \longrightarrow (\text{Pic}^0(\overline{A}))^G \longrightarrow (\text{Pic}(\overline{A}))^G \longrightarrow NS(\overline{A})^G \stackrel{\delta'}{\longrightarrow} H^1(G, \text{Pic}^0(\overline{A}))
$$

(2)
$$
\stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} \text{Br}_1(A)/\text{Br}_0(A) \longrightarrow H^1(G, NS(\overline{A})),
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{Br}_1(A) = \text{Ker}(\mathbf{Br}(A) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Br}(\overline{A})),
$$

$$
\mathbf{Br}_0(A) = \text{Im}(\mathbf{Br}(\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Br}(A)).
$$

Proof'. For an abelian variety A, we have

(3)
$$
0 \longrightarrow Pic^{0}(\overline{A}) \longrightarrow Pic(\overline{A}) \stackrel{\sum}{\longrightarrow} NS(\overline{A}) \longrightarrow 0.
$$

This gives a long exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow (\text{Pic}^0(\overline{A}))^G \longrightarrow (\text{Pic}(\overline{A}))^G \longrightarrow NS(\overline{A})^G \stackrel{\delta'}{\longrightarrow} H^1(G, \text{Pic}^0(\overline{A}))
$$

(4)
$$
\longrightarrow H^1(G, \text{Pic}(\overline{A})) \longrightarrow H^1(G, NS(\overline{A}))
$$

Let π : $A \rightarrow \text{Spec} \mathbf{Q}$ be the structure morphism. From the Leray spectral sequence

$$
H^p(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Q}, R^q \pi_* \mathbf{G}_m) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(A_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbf{G}_m),
$$

we obtain an exact sequence

(5)
$$
\cdots \longrightarrow H^2(G, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^*) \longrightarrow E_1^2 \stackrel{\chi}{\longrightarrow} H^1(G, \text{Pic}(\overline{A})) \longrightarrow H^3(G, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^*),
$$

where

$$
E_1^2 = \text{Ker}(E^2 \longrightarrow E_2^{0,2})
$$

= \text{Ker}(H^2(A_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbf{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^0(G, R^2 \pi_* \mathbf{G}_m))
= \text{Ker}(H^2(A_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbf{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^2(\overline{A}_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbf{G}_m))
= \text{Ker}(\mathbf{Br}(A) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Br}(\overline{A})) = \mathbf{Br}_1(A),

and [1, Ch7, Thm 14] $H^3(G, \bar{Q}^*) = 0$. Hence

$$
\mathbf{Br}_1(A)/\mathbf{Br}_0(A) \stackrel{\chi}{\simeq} H^1(G,\mathrm{Pic}(\overline{A})).
$$

Replacing $H^1(G, \text{Pic}(\overline{A}))$ by $\text{Br}_1(A)/\text{Br}_0(A)$ in (3.4), we get (3.2). П

LEMMA 3.5: Let $\rho: \textbf{Br}_1(A) \to \textbf{Br}_1(A)/\textbf{Br}_0(A)$ be the *canonical projection.* If $\rho(a) = \psi(a'), x_p \in A(\mathbf{Q}_p), x_\infty \in A(\mathbf{R})$ and *e* is the *identity element in the group* $A(\mathbf{Q})$, then \sim λ

$$
inv_{p}a^{(p)}(x_{p}) - inv_{p}a^{(p)}(e) = \langle x_{p}, a' \rangle_{p}
$$

and

$$
inv_{\infty} a^{\infty}(x_{\infty}) - inv_{\infty} a^{\infty}(e) = \langle x_{\infty}, a' \rangle_{\infty},
$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ *is the Tate pairing in* §2.2.

Proof'. The proof is essentially a detailed comparison of the definitions of the two pairings. See Manin [7, Proposition 8 c), p. 407]. \blacksquare

COROLLARY 3.6: *There exist inclusions*

$$
A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{H^1} \supseteq A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{\mathbf{Br}_1} \supseteq A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{\mathbf{Br}}.
$$

Proof: Suppose that $x = ((x_p), x_\infty) \in A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{\mathbf{Br}_1}$. For any $a' \in H^1(G, \bar{A}^t)$, $\psi(a') \in \text{Br}_1(A)/\text{Br}_0(A)$. Since ρ is surjective, there exists $a \in \text{Br}_1(A)$, such that $\rho(a) = \psi(a')$. By Lemma 3.5, $\langle x, a' \rangle = (x, a) = 0$. Hence $x \in A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{H^1}$. This proves the first inclusion. Since $\textbf{Br}_1(A) \subseteq \textbf{Br}(A)$, the second inclusion is obvious. 1

Remark: Notice that both pairings (2.1) and (2.2) come from class field theory. They are compatible [7, p. 407]. We can give an explicit formula for the map χ in (3.5). First [7, p. 403],

$$
\mathbf{Br}_1(A) = \text{Ker}(H^2(\mathbf{Q}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}(A)^*) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathbf{Q}, \text{Div}(A \times_{\mathbf{Q}} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}))).
$$

Let $b \in \text{Br}_1(A)$ and $f = (f_{s,t}) \in Z^2(\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q}), K(A)^*)$ representing b, where K is a finite normal extension of Q, then we have $f = \partial D$ for some $D =$ $(D_s) \in C^1(\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q}), \text{Div}(A \times_{\mathbf{Q}} K)) \subseteq C^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}), \text{Div}(\bar{A}))$. Then $\chi(f)$ is the cohomology class $[D]$ in $H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}), \text{Pic}(\overline{A}))$ [3, p. 469], [7, p. 410].

3.3. CONCLUSIONS. From Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.6, we know that under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{\mathbf{Br}} \subseteq A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{H^1} = \overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}.
$$

Obviously, $\overline{A(Q)} \subseteq A(A_{\mathbf{Q}})^{\mathbf{Br}}$. Hence

$$
A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{\mathbf{Br}} = A(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})^{H^1} = \overline{A(\mathbf{Q})}.
$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. Brauer-Manin obstruction is not the only obstruction over K

One naturally asks whether there is a generalization of Conjecture 1.2 to the number field case.

QUESTION 1: Let V be a *smooth irreducible algebraic variety defined* over a *number field K. Suppose* that *V(K) is Zariski* dense *in V. Is* the *topological closure of* $V(K)$ *in* $\prod_v V(K_v)$ open, where *v* runs through all the real absolute *values? (or* more *generally, v runs through all the archimedean absolute values).*

If V satisfies weak approximation, or if not, but the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to weak approximation for V , then the answer to this question is affirmative (cf. Lemma 2.1 and $(9, 81)$). But in general we do not have an affirmative answer to the above question. We give examples of elliptic curves.

PROPOSITION 4.1: *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over Q with positive MordeH-Weil rank. Suppose that there exists a real quadratic number field K such* that *the Mordell-Weil rank of E over K is* the *same as the Mordell-Weil rank of E over Q. Then the topological closure of* $E(K)$ in $\prod_{v \in M_v^\infty} E(K_v)$ is not *open.* Hence *the Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation is not the only obstruction.*

Proof: Since $E(Q)$ diagonally imbedded in $\prod_{v \in M_K^{\infty}} E(K_v)$ is not dense in the identity component, and $E(Q)$ is a subgroup of finite index in $E(K)$, hence $E(K)$ is not dense in the identity component.

Explicit examples can be found using Cremona's book [5].

Example 1: Let E be the elliptic curve whose Weierstrass model is

$$
y^2 = x^3 - 50x - 125.
$$

Let K be the the real quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{10})$. The quadratic twist of E by 10 is

$$
E^{(10)}: y^2 = x^3 - 8x - 8.
$$

Then rank $E(K) = \text{rank } E(Q) = 1$, and rank $E^{(10)}(Q) = 0$.

We also have examples for imaginary quadratic fields.

Example 2: Let E be given by

$$
y^2 = x^3 - 8x + 8.
$$

Let K be the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. The quadratic twist of E by -1 is

$$
E^{(-1)}: y^2 = x^3 - 8x - 8.
$$

Then rank $E(K) = \text{rank } E(\mathbf{Q}) = 1$ and rank $E^{(-1)}(\mathbf{Q}) = 0$.

QUESTION 2: *Let V be a smooth irreducible algebraic variety defined over a number field K. Suppose that* $V(K)$ is Zariski dense in V. Let $\text{Res}_{K/O}$ denote the Weil restriction of scalars. Is $(Res_{K/O}V)$ (Q) Zariski dense in $Res_{K/O}V$?

The answer is not always affirmative. Again we use the above examples of elliptic curves. $(Res_{K/O}E)(Q)$ is canonically identified with $E(K)$ and $(Res_{K/Q}E)(\mathbf{R})$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{v \in M_{\infty}^{\infty}} E(K_v)$.

References

- [1] M. Artin and J. Tate, *Class Field Theory,* Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, Éléments de Mathématique, Algèbre I, Hermann, Paris, 1970.
- [3] J.-L. Colliot-Th61~ne et J.-J. Sansuc, La *descente* sur *les varidtds rationnelles, I1,* Duke Mathematical Journal 54 (1987), 375-492.
- [4] J.-L. Colliot-Th61~ne et J.-J. Sansuc, La *descente* sur *une varidtd rationnelle ddfinie* sur *un corps de hombres,* Comptes Rendus de l'Acad6mie des Sciences, Paris 284 (1977), 1215-1218.
- [5] J.E. Cremona, *Algorithms for Modular Elliptic Curves,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, 1992.
- [6] V.A. Kolyvagin, *On the Morde11-Weil group of modular elliptic curves,* Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990), Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991, pp. 429-436.
- [7] Y. Manin, *Le groupe de Brauer-Grothendieck en gdomdtrie diophantienne,* Actes, Congrès intern. math. 1 (1970), 401-411.
- [8] Y. Manin, *Cubic Forms-Algebra, Geometry, Arithmetic,* North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [9] B. Mazur, *The topology of rational points,* Journal of Experimental Mathematics 1 (1992), 35-45.
- [10] J.S. Milne, *Arithmetic Duality Theorems,* Academic Press, New York, 1986.
- [11] K.A. Ribet, *On l-adic representations attached to modular forms,* Inventiones mathematicae 28 (1975), 245-275.
- [12] J.-P. Serre, *Sur les groupes de congruence des varidtds abdliennes, 1, 11,* I. Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 28 (1964), II. 35 (1971), 731-737.
- [13] J.-P. Serre, *Local Fields,* GTM 67, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [14] J.-P. Serre, *Topics in Galois Theory,* Notes by H. Darmon, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, 1992.
- [15] J. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves,* GTM 108, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [16] J. Tate, *WC-groups over p-adic fields*, Séminaire Bourbaki, exposé 156, 1957.
- [17] J. Tate, *Duality theorems in galois cohomology over number fields*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Stockholm, 1962, pp. 288-295.
- [18] M. Waldschmidt, *Transcendental numbers and functions of several variables,* in Advances in Number Theory (F.Q. Gouvêa and N. Yui, eds.), Oxford Science Publ., 1993, pp. 67-80.